1	LAWRENCE A. ORGAN (SBN 175503)	MICHAEL RUBIN (SBN 80618)
1	larry@civilrightsca.com	mrubin@altber.com
2	MARQUI HOOD (SBN 214718)	JONATHAN ROSENTHAL (SBN 329638)
	marqui@civilrightsca.com	jrosenthal@altber.com
3	CIMONE A. NUNLEY (SBN 326915)	ALTSHULER BERZON LLP
4	cimone@civilrightsca.com	177 Post Street, Suite 300
	CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW	San Francisco, California 94108
5	GROUP	Telephone: (415) 421-7151
	332 San Anselmo Avenue	Facsimile: (415) 362-8064
6	San Anselmo, California 94960	
7	Telephone: (415)-453-7352	DUSTIN COLLIER (SBN 264766)
'	Facsimile: (415)-785-7352	dcollier@collierlawsf.com
8		V. JOSHUA SOCKS (SBN 303443)
	J. BERNARD ALEXANDER (SBN 128307)	jsocks@collierlawsf.com
9	ALEXANDER MORRISON + FEHR LLP	ELIZABETH R. MALAY (SBN 336745)
10	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900	emalay@collierlawsf.co
10	Los Angeles, California 90067	DREW F. TETI (SBN 262641)
11	Telephone: (310) 394-0888	COLLIER LAW FIRM, LLP
	Facsimile: (310) 394-0811	240 Tamal Vista Blvd., Suite 100
12	(310) 33 1 0011	Corte Madera, CA 94925
		Telephone: (415) 767-0047
13		Facsimile: (415) 767-0087
14		(113) 707 0007
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
15	OWEN DIAZ	
16		
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
17		2 10 1 1 10 0 1 1 1
	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
18		
19		
19	OWEN DIAZ,	Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO
20		
	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL BRIEF RE
21	v.	IMPROPER REFERENCE TO
22	V.	PLAINTIFF'S EXCLUDED CRIMINAL
22	TESLA, INC. dba TESLA MOTORS, INC.;	HISTORY
23	, , ,	mstoki
	Defendant.	
24		
25		
25		
26 l		
27		
262728		

I. Factual Statement

On March 29, 2023 during Tesla's cross-examination of Plaintiff Diaz, Tesla twice attempted to elicit testimony from Plaintiff Diaz regarding his prior criminal convictions in direct violation of Judge Orrick's April 2, 2020 order precluding Defendant from introducing such evidence at trial. (Dkt. 180). Specifically, Tesla elicited testimony regarding the "redacted" portion of Plaintiff Diaz's job application (Exh. A to Cimone Nunley Dec.), which references his past criminal conviction, inquiring whether Plaintiff Diaz remembers any inaccuracies made on the form. Plaintiff's counsel objected to the questioning, citing Tesla's reference to the redacted portion. (Trial Tr. 2: 644:23-645:9). This Court instructed Tesla to move to a different line of questioning, adding that the parties could discuss it further on their afternoon conference. (Id.) Plaintiff is concerned that Tesla's counsel will revisit this area of inquiry during its continued cross-examination of Plaintiff Diaz today.

On cross-examination, the relevant questioning included the following

Statement 1:

- **BY MR. SPIRO**:
- **Q.** Okay. And so if you recall, previously, you were
- 20 questioned about your answer to a top part of the application
- 21 that's redacted, and you were told you said that it was
- 22 inaccurate because you were rushing to fill out the
- 23 application.
- Do you remember that testimony?
- **A.** I do remember rushing to fill out an application. I do Trial Tr. 2: 643:18-25.

Statement 2:

- **BY MR. SPIRO:**
- **Q.** Yeah. So I'm just asking, the question that you can't
- see, do you recall previously that you gave testimony where you
- said, "Yes. That was inaccurate, but I was rushing to fill

21 this out."

Do you recall that testimony?

MR. ORGAN: Objection. Move to strike. He's talking

about the redacted part, Your Honor.

Trial Tr. 2:644:17-23.

Plaintiff Diaz's deposition makes clear that Tesla's counsel was referring to the criminal convictions that have been excluded by Judge Orrick's prior order. (Dkt 180). During Plaintiff Diaz's deposition on May 22, 2018, Tesla elicited testimony from Plaintiff Diaz regarding his prior criminal convictions. Plaintiff Diaz testified that he was convicted of theft in 1988 (Deposition of Owen Diaz, Vol. I at 10:6-22; Exh. B to Nunley Dec.) and a property crime in the 1990s. (Id. at Exh. B 11:9-20, 12:8-16.) Tesla inquired about his CitiStaff Solutions Inc. job application whereby Plaintiff Diaz only disclosed the 1988 conviction in response to a question regarding whether he had been convicted of any felonies. (Id. at Exh. B 25:22-26:11). Plaintiff Diaz testified that the exclusion of the 1990s conviction on the application was a mere "oversight" because he "had limited time to fill out the application." (Id. at Exh. B 26:2-11). Thereafter, the parties filed a proposed joint stipulation and order to exclude this evidence from trial, which Judge Orrick signed on April 2, 2020. (Dkt. 180).

On March 29, 2023, Tesla showed the jury Exhibit 204, page two of the CitiStaff job application from which Plaintiff Diaz's prior criminal conviction was redacted. (Trial Tr. 2: 643:16-17). Tesla proceeded to question Plaintiff Diaz about inaccuracies on the form, pointing Plaintiff Diaz directly to the redacted part. (Trial Tr. 2: 643:18-645:9). The only information in the redacted portion is the excluded criminal background, so the alleged "inaccuracy" referenced by Tesla's counsel must necessarily have been the excluded criminal history. This put Plaintiff in an untenable position where he could not respond honestly to the question without violating the stipulation and revealing his conviction. His only option is to continue to respond equivocally thereby seeming evasive.

Any discussion of Plaintiff Diaz's prior criminal convictions has been excluded from trial. Tesla's reference to the "redacted" "inaccurate" part of Plaintiff Diaz's job application was not

Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 446 Filed 03/30/23 Page 4 of 4

only clearly improper, it was a devious litigation tactic intentionally employed to confuse the jury and arouse suspicions in Plaintiff Diaz's credibility and character, and possibly even elicit reference to the redacted conviction. To preclude any further violation of the Court's order regarding the exclusion of Plaintiff's criminal history, Plaintiff requests that the Court admonish Tesla's counsel from any further reference to Plaintiff's criminal history or to the redactions containing reference to said history. Dated: March 30, 2023 CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP **ALEXANDER MORRISON + FEHR LLP**

ALTSHULER BERZON LLP

/s/ Cimone Nunley Lawrence A. Organ Cimone A. Nunley J. Bernard Alexander III Michael Rubin Jonathan Rosenthal Attorneys for Plaintiff OWEN DIAZ

7 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28